Software Architecture and Engineering

John Michalakes

NOAA/NWS/Environmental Modeling Center IM Systems Group

NGGPS Annual Meeting

July 14, 2015





NOAA/NWS/Environmental Modeling Center

Outline

- Background
- Goals and Objectives
- Gaps
- Next Steps



Background

- Advanced Computing Evaluation Committee (AVEC)
 - Began Aug. 2014 to evaluate performance, scalability and software readiness of NGGPS candidate non-hydrostatic dycores

"NGGPS Level-1 Benchmarks and Software Evaluation" 4/30/2015

Michalakes¹, Benson², Black¹, Duda³, Govett⁴, Henderson⁴, Madden⁴, Mozdzynski⁵, Reinecke⁶, Skamarock³, Vasic¹

- ¹ NOAA National Centers for Environmental Prediction
 - ² NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory
 - ³ National Center for Atmospheric Research
 - ⁴NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory
- ⁵ European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
 - ⁶ Naval Research Laboratory
- Will AVEC continue into Phase-II or be reconstituted as **Software Architecture and Engineering Team**?



SAE Goals and Objectives

- NGGPS Implementation Plan, v1.0 Oct. 2014
 - "...improved software architecture and system engineering."
 - develop a "software architecture and engineered system that maximizes the benefit from HPC"
 - "Building a high-performance, flexible software infrastructure" for ease of use, performance and interoperability
 - "Implementing a community-based model infrastructure" to streamline R2O
- Major SAE task areas
 - Software processes/practices
 - NEMS Readiness
 - HPC readiness
 - Community User On-ramp development
 - Carryover testing from Phase-I



Objective: Software Architecture and Engineering

- Establish processes and best practices for efficiently and reliably managing codes in R2O community environment
 - Specification, development and maintenance of high-quality software for NCEP and contributors
 - Simplification: make NGGPS as easy and straightforward as possible for a visitor or outside user
 - Orderly, transparent source code management policies and procedures
 - Software quality assurance policies and procedures
 - Meaningful, enforceable coding standards
- Common community physics package
 - Standard GFS interface development (NUOPC)
 - Requirements, design and code management for v.2 standalone GFS physics package



Objective: NEMS Readiness

- Three of the five NGGPS candidates already compatible
 with NEMS or NEMS-like framework
 - Essentially ESMF compatibility: init-run-finalize
 - Use NEMS/ESMF import/export states
 - NEMS I/O, etc.
- Work with by NEMS, Modeling Groups, and OAS Team:
 - Add new NGGPS dycore to NEMS directory structure, build mechanisms,
 - Testing to identify and fix or accommodate outlying incompatibilities
 - Establish mechanisms, policies, procedures for ongoing interaction on development and code maintenance in NEMS framework



Objective: User Community On-Ramp

- Make NGGPS as easy and straightforward as possible for a visitor or outside user
- Simplified, rationale, teachable software infrastructure
 - On-line and in-person tutorial material with step-by-step guidance
 - Comprehensive documentation (technical description and reference manuals) that is kept up to date
 - A helpful and responsive User Help Desk with support archives
 - WRF User Support is an exemplar: (http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/support.html)
- GUI/User Interface
 - NWP Information Technology Environment (NITE)
 - Surveyed ECMWF and UKMO interfaces
 - http://www.dtcenter.org/eval/NITE
 - DTC report 3/31/15 by Bernardet and Carson



Objective: HPC Readiness

- Work with model groups, technical experts, and HPC vendors to provide "abundance" of computing needed for NGGPS
- Performance and scaling
 - Exploit all available parallelism ...
 - Task, thread, "fine-grained" (e.g. vector)
 - ,,, and deal with lack of parallelism where unavailable.
 - Time dimension is fundamentally sequential
 - Nesting/mesh refinement unavoidable for high resolution real time global NWP
 - I/O performance and scaling (esp. ensembles)
 - Coupling overhead from coupling itself and from "least scalable component"
- Technical emphases for HPC readiness in NGGPS
 - HPC architectures with lowest overhead/highest reward path to operations
 - Standard, mature, widely adopted programming models
 - Monitor developments in other technologies and approaches, resources and schedules permitting.



Relationships to Other Projects

- NUOPC Physics component and and physics interface
- HIWPP Model evaluation and HPC readiness (OAR)
- SENA Software Engineering for Novel Architectures (NOAA HPCC)
- CIME Common Infrastructure for Modeling the Earth (NSF/DOE)
- ESPC/AOLI Air-Ocean-Land-ICE Global Coupled Prediction Project
- OAS Team NGGPS Overarching System Team (Cecelia)
- Nesting NGGPS Nesting and mesh refinement team (Vijay)



GAPS

- How to align and coordinate effort and activity currently in the model groups and EMC with NGGPS objectives, schedules?
 - How are responsibilities divided? What activities are most appropriately left within the modeling groups and which need to be brought into and performed by NWS?
 - How and to what extent can processes be unified or at least made complementary?
 - How are requirements collected and prioritized? Conflicting requirements?
- Roles
 - What is SAE Team? A planning and advisory group or more hands on?
 - What is relationship to existing groups: modeling groups, DTC and EMC?
- Are NGGPS software and engineering efforts effectively structured and in a position to learn from and adapt as necessary to successes and lessons learned from other community modeling efforts?



Proposed Next Steps

- Before end of FY15:
 - Clarification of objectives and role for SAE Team (end of FY15)
 - Finalize membership
 - Kick-off meeting
- Before end of Q1 FY 16:
 - Implementable work plans with objectives, scope, resources, stakeholders and partnerships
 - Tasks related to NGGPS Phase-II testing (
 - Tasks related to enhanced software processes and practices
 - Tasks related to community support
 - Tasks related to HPC readiness
 - Every task starts with gathering, analysis and specification of requirements
 - Every work plan specifies delivery schedule with substantive end of FY16 deliverables (except Phase-II testing which will be finished at end of Q3 FY16, here Phase-II planning will be included)



